

Journal of Reproductive Biotechnology and Fertility

REVIEWER'S CHECKLIST

Date:

Ref No.

Please return this completed Checklist by.....

I. INVITATION:

On behalf of the open access online **Journal of Reproductive Biotechnology and Fertility** (JRBF), it gives me great pleasure to invite you to evaluate the attached article for suitability for publication. You were chosen because of your significant contributions to the field of REPRODUCTION including clinical, scientific, nursing, social and legal aspects of ART, mammalian reproductive biology and reproductive sciences, and fertility, which also encompasses demographic and population dynamics related to human fertility and health.

In the first instance please advise by return email whether you agree to this request? Attached please find a questionnaire that you are required to complete. We leave it to your discretion to work on the review as you see fit but it will be greatly appreciated if you could divide your response into two sections, general and specific comments as indicated in the attached questionnaire. In any event you need to indicate whether the publication meets minimum standards and is worthy of publication.

The attached **manuscript is confidential and the intellectual property of the author(s)**. Should you agree to review this paper your agreement will be considered as a **Non-Disclosure and Confidentiality Agreement (NDA)** between the JRBF and your good self. We are duty bound to point out it will be inappropriate to let another person or organization view this manuscript prior to publication except for those under your direct supervision or your peers you may want assist you in the peer review process. Such individuals are also bound by the aforementioned. If this is going to be the case please let us have the names of those that will assist you in the review process.

Alternatively if it is not possible for you or members of your group to evaluate this paper please indicate at earliest convenience; if this be the case we shall be grateful if you could let us have the name(s) and contact details, and email addresses of those that may be able to review this paper. If for any reason you are not able to evaluate the attached paper please indicate on an IMMEDIATE BASIS BY RETURN EMAIL so that we may seek the assistance of some other reviewer to evaluate the said paper. If you chose to decline this request please inform us and then proceed to erase this paper from your hard disk and portable data storage devices.

If you accept our invitation to review this article we shall be very grateful if you could please ensure the manuscript is thoroughly reviewed and that all errors, inappropriate statements or unqualified claims, etc, are noted and made known to the Editor.

The JRBF is available online. You may also read about the mission of the JRBF and the "Instructions for Authors" in the web link (www.ivf-hub.net). We appreciate and thank you very much for your efforts.

- | | |
|----------------------------------|---------|
| 2. Suitable after minor revision | Yes/No |
| 3. Suitable after major revision | Yes/No, |
| 4. Not suitable for publication | Yes/No |

Reviewers' response – suitability for publication: *SUITABLE / NOT SUITABLE*

If not suitable give reasons

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

(You may add more space if needed)

Reviewer's Comments

Your comments can be made on a separate page. Your response can be in two sections, (at your discretion):

1. General comments:

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

[You may add more space if needed]

2. Specific comments: (If any)

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

[You may add more space if needed]

C. Reviewer's Checklist

Please see below a checklist. Please answer each questions as "YES" or "NO"..
(Please Answer "YES" or" No" by deleting appropriate word)

a. Statement of Purpose/(Research) Question

- | | |
|---|--------|
| (i) Is the introduction appropriate? | YES/NO |
| (ii) Is the problem stated clearly? | YES/NO |
| (iii) Is the objective valid?* | YES/NO |
| (iv) Is the research question clear, articulate and precise?* | YES/NO |

**[Do not answer (iii & iv) above for review articles]*

b. Relevance

- | | |
|--|--------|
| (i) Is this paper relevant to the objectives of the journal? | YES/NO |
| (ii) Is this work worth doing?* | YES/NO |

- (iii) Is this work worth publishing? YES/NO
- (iv) This work contributes to the advancement of knowledge? YES/NO
- (v) This study confirms previous studies?* YES/NO
- (vi) This study contributes novel findings?* YES/NO

*[Do not answer (ii, v & vi) above for review articles]

c. Research Design (Do not complete this section for review/opinion articles)

- (i) The research design is defined clearly to enable replication? YES/NO
- (ii) The research design is appropriate for the research question? YES/NO
- (iii) The conduct of this study is appropriate and valid? YES/NO
- (iv) The conduct of this study answered the research question? YES/NO

d. Literature

- (i) The authors have cited appropriate references? YES/NO
- (ii) The authors have cited sufficient numbers of references? YES/NO
- (iii) The authors have left out relevant references? YES/NO
- (iv) Previous work has been acknowledged accurately/truthfully? YES/NO
- (v) The authors are well-versed with the literature? YES/NO

e. Sampling and Data Analysis (Do not complete this section for review articles)

- (i) The sampling and sample size is appropriate YES/NO
- (ii) The data was analysed by appropriate statistical methods YES/NO
- (iii) Bias if any is addressed YES/NO

g. Manuscript preparation

- (i) The manuscript was prepared according to format of the journal? YES/NO
- (ii) The manuscript needs extensive revision? YES/NO

D. Do you think this paper is of a very high quality deserving of an Award?

Please rank the paper according to the range: 1 (Very poor) to 10 (Excellent)
 (Please Answer "YES" or "No" by deleting one word below as appropriate; and write below the rank you selected clearly by deleting as appropriate)

YES/NO Rank:

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

E. Language or factual errors:

YES/NO

[You are not required to amend language errors however if you have detected errors please indicate clearly below the nature of the error(s), page(s) and line number(s) of sentence(s) that is/are erroneous].

.....

.....

.....

.....

.....

[You may add more space if needed]

F. Fraud/Misconduct and Plagiarism

1. Do you suspect the author(s) of fraud or misconduct?

YES/NO If "YES" please give your reasons for the same.

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

[You may add more space if needed]

2. Do you suspect plagiarism?

YES/NO

If "YES" please indicate which manuscript was plagiarised. Please indicate details of the manuscript, page numbers and statements plagiarised.

.....
.....
.....
.....
.....
.....

[You may add more space if needed]

When you have completed this task please save the file. and send back a copy by email attachment to:

jrbf.online@gmail.com

with a cc to:

jaffarali.abdullah@gmail.com

Please ensure confidentiality. The contents of the manuscript must not be disclosed to anyone except co-reviewers. Co-Reviewers are also bound by this Agreement.

Please send your response by email attachment. Your signature is NOT required

Date task completed and despatched:.....

[THANK YOU VERY MUCH FOR YOUR EFFORTS WHICH IS GREATLY APPRECIATED , - EDITOR-IN-CHIEF]