

Journal of Reproductive Biotechnology and Biomedical Sciences [JRBBS]

REVIEWER'S CHECKLIST

Date: dd/mm/yyyy

Ref No.

Please return this completed Checklist by dd/mm/yyyy.

Title of Manuscript:

“Title of manuscript.....”

(Declaration: This checklist adopts, in part, the recommendations of Roberts et al. How to Review a Manuscript: A “Down-to-Earth Approach”, Acad. Pschyiat.2004; 28(2):81-87)

(Authors names and affiliations are not provided)

Name(s) and affiliations of the Reviewer(s):

1. .REVIEWER 1/2/3/4/5..(.....) NAME NOT
REQUIRED / we have your details elsewhere.....).

[Reviewers' identities will not be made known to authors]

A. Suitability for Publication

In the first instance please indicate whether the paper is:

(Delete as appropriate below or indicate/retain the number of the answer you chose)

1. Suitable for publication (Highlight any one of 4 options)
2. Suitable after minor revision
3. Suitable after major revision
4. Not suitable for publication

Reviewers' response: 1/2/3/4...Highlight 1 option

If not suitable give reasons.....
.....
.....
.....

B. Reviewer's Comments

Your comments can be made on a separate page if you prefer. Your response can be in two sections, “General” and “Specific” comments (at your discretion):

.....

1. General comments (if any, if you have no comments proceed to next section)

2. Specific comments (if any, if you have no comments proceed to next section)

C. Reviewer's Checklist

Please see below a checklist. Please answer each questions as “YES” or “NO”.
(Please Answer “YES” or “No” by deleting appropriate word)

a. Statement of Purpose/(Research) Question

(i) Is the introduction appropriate?	YES
(ii) Is the problem stated clearly?	YES
(iii) Is the objective valid?*	YES/ NO
(iv) The research question is clear, articulate and precise?*	YES/ NO

*[Do not answer (iii & iv) above for review articles]

b. Relevance

(i) Is this paper relevant to the objectives of the journal?	YES
(ii) Is this work worth doing?*	YES/ NO
(iii) Is this work worth publishing?	YES
(iv) This work contributes to the advancement of knowledge?	YES/ NO
(v) This study confirms previous studies?*	YES/ NO
(vi) This study contributes novel findings?*	YES

*[Do not answer (ii, v & vi) above for review articles]

c. Research Design (*Do not complete this section for review articles*)

(i) The research design is defined clearly to enable replication? YES/ NO
(ii) The research design is appropriate for the research question? YES/ NO
(iii) The conduct of this study is appropriate and valid? YES/ NO
conduct of this study answered the research question? YES/ NO (iv) The

d. Literature

(i) The authors have cited appropriate references? YES/ NO
(ii) The authors have cited sufficient numbers of references? YES/ NO
(iii) The authors have left out relevant references? YES/ NO
(iv) Previous work has been acknowledged accurately/truthfully? YES/ NO

(v) The authors are well-versed with the literature? YES/ NO

e. Sampling and Data Analysis *(Do not complete this section for review articles)*

(i) The sampling and sample size is appropriate YES/ NO
(ii) The data was analysed by appropriate statistical methods YES/ NO (iii) Bias
if any is addressed YES/ NO

g. Manuscript preparation

(i) The manuscript was prepared according to format of the journal? YES/ NO
(ii) The manuscript needs extensive revision? YES/ NO

D. Do you think this paper is of a very high quality deserving of an Award?

*Please rank the paper according to the range: 1 (Very poor) to 10 (Excellent)
(Please Answer "YES" or "No" by deleting one word below as appropriate; and write below
the rank you selected clearly by deleting as appropriate)*

NO

(PLEASE CHOOSE YOUR RANKING AS YOU SEE FIT)

RANK: _____

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	---	----

E. Language or factual errors YES

[Please indicate clearly below the page(s) and line numbers of sentences that has to be
amended].

-----YES/NO?-----

The text is highlighted or notes are made where ever applicable.

[Use separate sheet if necessary]

F. Fraud/Misconduct and Plagiarism

1. Do you suspect the author(s) of fraud or misconduct? YES or No

If "YES" please give reasons for the same.

[Use more space if necessary]

2. Do you suspect plagiarism? Yes or No

If "YES" please indicate which manuscript was plagiarised. Please indicate details of the manuscript, page numbers and statements plagiarised.

[Use more space if necessary]

When you have completed this task please save the file and send back a copy by email attachment to: jrbf.online@gmail.com with a cc to: jaffarali.abdullah@gmail.com

Please send your response by email attachment. Your signature is not required